

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/677,940		10/02/2003	Randy Hoffman	200208804-1	9724		
22879	7590	06/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HEWLE'	TT PAC	KARD COMPAN	PATEL, ASHOK				
		3404 E. HARMONY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		PROPERTY ADMII CO 80527-2400	2879				
	•			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 2						
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
	055 4-45 0	10/677,9	40	HOFFMAN, RANDY				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
•	•	Ashok Pa		2879	•			
Donie d fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addres:	S			
Period fo								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TI R 1.136(a). In no ev iniod will apply and w atute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1.	3 February 20	06					
2a)□								
3)	, _							
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	olosed in accordance with the practice until	ei Lx parte Qi		.03 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims			,				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-63 is/are without	drawn from co	nsideration.		•			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7-39</u> is/are objected to.	•			•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
	•							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam		antad as tales and	14-1-15-E				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> is/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				404(-1)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
		E LAGITIMET. IN	ote the attached Onice	a Action of form PTO-13	32.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum			•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•		ed in this National Stag	e .			
	application from the International Bur	•	• • • •					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the cert	fied copies not receiv	ed.				
	·							
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7 pages</u> .	/08)	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	1			

Application/Control Number: 10/677,940

Art Unit: 2879

Page 2

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, species I. in the reply filed on 02/13/2006, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and Examination required for entire application can be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the search requirement for group I and II are not same, as mentioned in the last restriction requirement. The search for Group I is in class 313, whereas the search for Group II is in class 445. As to the species requirement, the instant application discloses three different embodiments, each including exclusively distinct structural arrangements of associated elements, as shown in Figures 2, 5, 6 and 7. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Group I, including species II, III and IV, II and Group II including claims 40-62, are withdrawn from consideration. An action on merits including elected Group I, species including claims 1-39 is as follows.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2879

3. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe (USPN 5648181, of record).

Watanabe disclose applicant's claimed electroluminescent device (Figures 1-3) including: an inorganic phosphor (4), a controllable hole injection structures (3, as shown in Figure 1, or 3 and 4 as shown in Figure 2 or 3, 4 and 7 as shown in Figure 3) in contact with the inorganic phosphor, and a controllable electron injection structure (3, as shown in Figure 1, or 3 and 4 as shown in Figure 2 or 3, 4 and 7 as shown in Figure 3) in contact with the inorganic phosphor and separated from the controllable hole injection structure by a recombination region of the inorganic phosphor.

As to claims 2 and 3, although Watanabe does not disclose the first and second applied voltages, application of these voltages is inherent for controlling rate of holes or electrons.

As to claim 6, Watanabe discloses, at col. 1, lines 14-52, different inorganic phosphors which satisfies applicant's claimed group of ZnS, SrS, BaS, CaS, ŻnO, ZnSe, GaN and GaP.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/677,940

Art Unit: 2879

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (USPN 5,648,181, of record), as applied above to claim 1.

As to claim 5, as mentioned in the rejection of claims 2 and 3, Watanabe discloses first and second voltages for proper controlling rate of holes or electrons.

As to claims 4 and 5, Although Watanabe does not disclose a third applied voltage for controlling electroluminescent intensity, applying such voltage would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for optimizing intensity of the luminescence while varying electrical characteristics of associated components.

It has been held that where general conditions of the claim are discovered in the prior art, discovering optimum voltage application among associated components involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

6. Claims 7-39 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claims 7-20, prior art of the record does not disclose or teach applicant's claimed EL device of entire claim 1 further including hole injection structure and field effect gate structure, as specifically recited in claim 7.

As to claims 21-34, prior art of the record does not disclose or teach applicant's claimed EL device of entire claim 1 further including electron-injection region and field effect gate region, as specifically recited in claim 21.

As to claims 35-39, prior art of the record does not disclose or teach applicant's claimed EL device of entire claim 1 further including the controllable hole injection structure and the controllable electron injection structure, as specifically recited in claim 35.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wu et al, Tomomura et al, Duggal et al and Han each are cited for showing a general structure of an EL device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel

Application/Control Number: 10/677,940

Art'Unit: 2879

whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ashok Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

Page 6